

## **REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS**

<b>Title of Publication:</b>	dog-b-010-3.jpg
<b>Other Known Title:</b>	Not Stated
<b>Format:</b>	Computer Image File Printout
<b>Director/Author:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Producer/Publisher:</b>	Not Stated
<b>Country of Origin:</b>	Not Stated
<b>Language:</b>	English
<b>Applicant:</b>	The District Court At Timaru
<b>Distributor:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Classification:</b>	Objectionable.
<b>Descriptive Note:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Display Conditions:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Date of entry in Register:</b>	03 April 2007
<b>Date of direction to issue a label:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>OFLC No:</b>	700337
<b>Excisions:</b>	Not Applicable

### **Reasons for excisions:**

Not Applicable

### **Summary of reasons for classification:**

The computer image file printout *dog-b-010-3.jpg* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The publication promotes and supports bestiality. It explicitly depicts an act of bestiality between a woman and what appears to be a dog. The image makes a spectacle of this act

and has the potential to sexually arouse viewers. It has the effect of affirming bestiality as a legitimate sexual activity.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in section 14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under section 3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is also a reasonable limitation on the freedom of expression that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to limit the availability of publications that promote and support bestiality.